



# INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> : C10L 1/22	A1	11) International Publication Number: WO 94/25545 43) International Publication Date: 10 November 1994 (10.11.94)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US: (22) International Filing Date: 4 May 1994 (		(81) Designated States: CA, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).
(30) Priority Data:	Florha y, Pisc earch a	

# (57) Abstract

Aromatic amines of formula (I) where R<sub>1</sub> is C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> alkyl or halogen and n is an integer from 0 to 3 are affective in increasing the motor octane number of aviation gasolines to 98 or greater without the presence of lead additives.

$$(R_1)_n$$

# FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT Austrie GB United Kingdom MR Mauritania AU Australia GE Georgia MW Malawi BB Barbados GN Guinea NE Niger BE Belgium GR Greece NL Netherlands BF Burkina Faso HU Hungary NO Norway BG Bulgaria IE Ireland NZ New Zealar BI Benin IT Italy PL Poland	
BB Barbados GN Guinea NE Niger BE Belgium GR Greece NL Netherlands BF Burkina Faso HU Hungary NO Norway BG Bulgaria IE Ireland NZ New Zealar	
BF Burkina Faso HU Hungary NO Norway BG Bulgaria IE Ireland NZ New Zealar	
BG Bulgaria IE Ireland NZ New Zealan	
BG Bulgaria IE Ireland NZ New Zealan	
	đ
BJ Benin IT Italy PL Poland	
BR Brazil JP Japan PT Portugal	
BY Belarus KE Kenya RO Romania	
CA Canada KG Kyrgystan RU Russian Fee	eration
CF Central African Republic KP Democratic People's Republic SD Sudan	
CG CORO of Korea SE Sweden	
CH Switzerland KR Republic of Korea SI Slovenia	
CI Côte d'Ivoire KZ Kazakhstan SK Slovakia	
CM Cameroon LI Liechtenstein SN Senegal	
CN China LK Srl Lanka TD Chad	
CS Czechoslovakia LU Luxembourg TG Togo	
CZ Czech Republic LV Latvia TJ Tajikistan	
DE Germany MC Monaco TT Trimidad an	i Tobago
DK Denmark MD Republic of Moldova UA Ukraine	
ES Spain MG Madagascar US United State	s of America
FI Finland ML Mali UZ Uzbekistan	
FR France MN Mongolia VN Viet Nam	
GA Gabon	

### UNLEADED AVIATION GASOLINE

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Serial No. 059,437 filed May 4, 1993.

# BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### Field of the Invention

This invention relates to unleaded aviation gasolines. More specifically, this invention is directed to an unleaded aviation gasoline possessing a high motor octane number for use in piston driven aircraft which require high octane fuels.

### Background of the Invention

The high octane requirements of aviation gas for use in piston driven aircraft which operate under severe requirements, e.g., aircraft containing turbo charged piston engines require that commercial aviation fuels contain a high performance octane booster. The octane boosters for automobile gasolines (Mogas) such as benzene, toluene, xylene, methyl tertiary butyl ether, ethanol and the like are not capable by themselves of boosting the motor octane number (MON) to the 98 to 100 MON levels required for aviation gasolines (Avgas). Tetraethyl lead is therefore a necessary component in high octane Avgas as an octane booster. However, environmental concerns over lead and its compounds may require the phasing out of lead in Avgas.

U.S. Patent 2,819,953 describes aromatic amines added to motor gasolines as antiknock agents. However, motor gasolines have much lower octane requirements than aviation gasolines for piston driven aircraft. One cannot predict performance of a given antiknock agent in an aviation gasoline based on its performance as an antiknock agent in a motor gasoline.

It would be desirable to find a non-lead based octane booster for Avgas which will permit formulation of a high octane Avgas.

#### Summary of the Invention

In accordance with the present invention, there is provided a high octane Avgas which contains no lead. More particularly, this invention relates to an unleaded aviation fuel composition having a motor octane number of at least about 98 for piston driven aircraft which comprises:

- (1) unleaded aviation gasoline base fuel, and
- (2) an amount of at least one aromatic amine effective to boost the motor octane number of the base fuel to at least about 98, said aromatic amine having the formula

where  $R_1$  is  $C_1$ - $C_{10}$  alkyl or halogen and n is an integer from 0 to 3 with the proviso that when  $R_1$  is alkyl, it cannot occupy the 2- or 6-positions on the aromatic ring. Another embodiment of the invention comprises a method for preparing an unleaded aviation fuel composition having a motor octane number of at least 98 for use in piston driven aircraft which comprises adding an effective amount of octane boosting aromatic amine of the formula (I) to the aviation base fuel. Yet another embodiment relates to a method for operating a piston driven aircraft with an unleaded fuel which comprises operating the piston driven aircraft with an unleaded aviation base fuel containing an amount of at least one aromatic amine of the formula (I) effective to boost the motor octane number of the base fuel to at least about 98.

#### Detailed Description of the Invention

Compositionally, Avgas is different from Mogas. Avgas, because of its higher octane and stability requirements, is a blend of isopentane, alkylate, toluene and tetraethyl lead. A typical Avgas

base fuel without octane booster such as tetraethyl lead has a MON of 90 to 93. Mogas, which has lower octane requirements, is a blend of many components such as butane, virgin and rerun naphtha, light, intermediate and heavy cat naphthas, reformate, isomerate, hydrocrackate, alkylate, ethers and alcohols. Octane requirements of Mogas are based on research octane numbers (RON). For a given fuel, the RON is on average 10 octane numbers higher than its corresponding MON. Thus, the average premium Mogas possesses a MON of 86 to 88, whereas current Avgas must have a MON of 98-100. MON, not RON, is the accepted measure of octane for Avgas and is measured using ASTM 2700-92.

Conventional octane booster for Mogas, such as benzene, toluene, xylene, methyl tertiary butyl ether and ethanol are capable of boosting the MON of unleaded Avgas to the 92 to 95 MON range if added to Avgas in high enough concentrations. As noted previously, this is insufficient to meet the needs of high octane Avgas.

The aromatic amines of the present invention are capable of boosting the MON of Avgas to values of 98 or greater. In the aromatic amines of the formula

 $R_1$  is preferably  $C_1$  to  $C_5$  alkyl or halogen and n is preferably 1 to 2. Preferred halogens are Cl or F. When R1 is alkyl, it occupies the -3, -4, or -5 (meta or para) positions on the benzene ring. Alkyl groups in the 2- or 6- position result in aromatic amines which cannot boost octane to a MON value of 98. Examples of preferred aromatic amines include phenylamine, 4-tert-butylphenylamine, 3-methylphenylamine, 3-ethylphenylamine, 4-methylphenylamine, 3,5-dimethylphenylamine, 3,4-2-fluorophenylamine, 4-isopropylphenylamine, dimethylphenylamine, 2-chlorophenylamine, 3-fluorophenylamine, 4-fluorophenylamine, chlorophenylamine and 4-chlorophenylamine. Especially preferred are 3,5-dimethylphenylamine, 3,4-dimethylphenylamine, 2-fluorophenylamine,

4-fluorophenylamine, 3-methylphenylamine, 3-ethylphenylamine, 4-ethylphenylamine, 4-isopropylphenylamine and 4-t-butylphenylamine.

The fuel compositions of this invention may be prepared by blending aviation gasoline with aromatic amines of the formula (I). Preferred concentrations are from 4-20 wt%, based on fuel, more preferably 5-15 wt% and especially 6-10 wt%. It is important that the aromatic amine be soluble in aviation gasoline at the desired concentration. A cosolvent may be added to the Avgas to improve solubility properties. Examples of cosolvents include low molecular weight aromatics, alcohols, nitriles, esters, halogenated hydrocarbons, ethers and the like.

The present aromatic amine additives may be used with conventional octane boosters, such as ethers, alcohols, aromatics and non-lead metals. Examples of such octane boosters include ethyl tertiary, butyl ether, methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl, iron pentacarbonyl, as well as the other boosters noted previously. While such conventional organic octane boosters may be used to increase the MON of Avgas, they are not capable by themselves of boosting the MON to the 98 level required in Avgas for use in piston driven engines. Adding the aromatic amines of this invention to Avgas containing conventional octane booster has only a very slight incremental effect at the 98 MON octane level. Thus there is little economic incentive to combine the present aromatic amines with conventional octane boosters even though technically this can be done.

Other approved additives may be included in the Avgas fuel compositions. Examples of such approved additives include anti-oxidants and dyes. Approved additives for Avgas are listed in ASTM D-910.

This invention is further exemplified by reference to the examples, which include a preferred embodiment of the invention.

#### Example 1

This example illustrates the effect of N-alkyl substitution on the octane boosting performance of an aromatic amine. The unleaded aviation gasoline employed as base fuel had a MON of 92.6 as determined using ASTM 2700-92. The Avgas was a blend of isopentane, alkylate and toluene. Phenylamine, N-methyl phenylamine and N-ethylphenylamine were blended into the Avgas and the results are shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1

		MON (a)  Concentration (b)			
Test No.	Compound	0	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>
1 .	phenylamine	92.6	95.3	98.3	101.3
2 (c)	N-methylphenylamine	92.6	94.6	94.7	95.2
3 (c)	N-ethylphenylamine	92.6	90.4	90.1	

<sup>(</sup>a) Motor octane numbers determined using ASTM 2700-88(a)

These results demonstrate that substituents on the amino moiety decrease the octane boosting performance over the unsubstituted amino moiety. In fact, going from methyl to ethyl results in a negative effect. Phenylamine itself results in a 98 MON value at about a 6 wt% concentration whereas comparative tests 2 and 3 with N-alkyl substitution results in Avgas which will not achieve a 98 MON value even at high additive concentrations.

#### Example 2

In this example, various alkyl substituted phenylamines were blended into the unleaded Avgas of Example 1 having a MON of 92.6. The results are shown in Table 2.

<sup>(</sup>b) Concentrations based on wt% in Avgas

<sup>(</sup>c) Comparative data

TABLE 2

		Cong	MON entrations	(2)
Test No.	Compound	<u> 3</u>	<u>6</u>	9
4	3-methylphenylamine	96.6	98.0	100.0
5	3-ethylphenylamine		96.4	99.2
6	4-methylphenylamine	96.8	98.7	(b)
. 7	4-isopropylphenylamine	95.3	97.0	99.8
8	4-tertiarybutylphenylamine	94.6	96.8	99.2
9	3,4-dimethylphenylamine	94.6	98.2	(b)
10	<pre>3,5-dimethylphenylamine</pre>		98.3	101.3
	Comparative Test	s		
11	2-methylphenylamine	94.2	94.3	94.7
12	2-ethylphenylamine		91.2	90.9
13	2-isopropylphenylamine	91.4	90.4	91.2
14	2,5-dimethylphenylamine	93.4	95.6	95.6

<sup>(</sup>a) Concentrations based on wt% in Avgas

As can been seen from this data, alkyl substituents in the 3-, 4-, or 5- positions are effective at boosting MON values to 98 whereas alkyl substituents in the 2- or 6- (ortho) positions are not effective in boosting the MON to 98. In fact, bulky ortho substituents such as 2-isopropyl have a negative effect on octane performance. In the case where there are alkyl substituents in the 2- and 3-, 4- or 5- positions, the 2-position substituent limits the octane boosting value. Thus in comparing tests 10 and 14, only the 3,5-dimethyl isomer is capable of boosting octane values to 98. This is further illustrated in Table 3 in which mixtures of 2-, 3- and 4-methylphenylamines are compared.

<sup>(</sup>b) Not fully soluble at this concentration

- 7 -

TABLE 3

Test <u>No.</u>	Compound	Component (a) Percent	Total <u>Percent</u>	MON
	2-methylphenylamine	2		
15	3-methylphenylamine	2	6	96.4
	4-methylphenylamine	2	•	
16	2-methylphenylamine	3	6	95.6
	3-methylphenylamine	3		
	2-methylphenylamine	3		
17	3-methylphenylamine	3	9	97.3
	4-methylphenylamine	3		
18	2-methylphenylamine	4.5	9	96.5
	3-methylphenylamine	4.5		

<sup>(</sup>a) Concentrations based on wt% in Avgas

The data in Table 3 shows that the octane boosting effect is due to the 3- and 4-isomers whereas the 2-isomer is a limiting factor. Thus in comparing Tests 15 and 16 or Tests 17 and 18, it can be seen that increasing the amount of the 2-isomer at a constant total percentage results in a decrease in MON. This is consistent with Test 11 which shows little octane boosting effect for the 2-isomer as compared to the 3- and 4-isomers shown in Tests 4 and 6.

### Example 3

This example compares the octane boosting performance of various halogen substituted phenylamines and mixed halogen and alkyl substituted phenylamines when blended into an unleaded Avgas having a MON of 92.6. The results are shown in Table 4.

TABLE 4

		Come	MON entrations	
Test No.	Compound	<u></u>	<u>6</u>	9
19	2-fluorophenylamine	94.2	96.8	99.9
20	3-fluorophenylamine	94.1	96.8	99.3
21	4-fluorophenylamine	95.4	96.8	100.1
22	2-chlorophenylamine	93.8	97.1	98.2
23	3-chlorophenylamine	93.8	96.2	(p)
24	2-fluoro-4-methylphenylamine		96.6	97.7
25	2-fluoro-5-methylphenylamine		96.2	97.7
	Comparative Tests	3		
26	3-fluoro-2-methylphenylamine		93.9	95.3
27	4-fluoro-2-methylphenylamine		94.2	94.7
28	5-fluoro-2-methylphenylamine 93.6		94.9	
29	2,3,4,5-tetrafluorophenylamine 94.3		94.3	95.1
30	N-methyl-4-fluorophenylamine		95.1	95.2

<sup>(</sup>a) Concentrations based on wt% in Avgas

These data demonstrate that for halogen substituted phenylamines, the halogen may occupy the 2-position with no negative impact on octane boosting capability. This is in contrast to alkyl substituents in the 2-position wherein the data in Table 2 shows that 2-alkyl substituted phenylamines cannot boost octane values to 98 MON. Mixed alkyl and halogen substituted phenylamines can achieve 98 MON provided that the alkyl is not in the 2-position. This can be seen by comparing Tests 24 and 25 with Tests 27 and 28. Fully halogenated amines (Test 29) are not effective octane boosters. Also, N-alkyl substitution reduces the octane boosting effect of a halogenated phenylamine as can be noted from a comparison of Tests 21 and 30.

### Example 4

This example compares the octane boosting performance of aromatic amines according to this invention to other conventional

<sup>(</sup>b) Not fully soluble at this concentration

octane boosters and also compares the incremental effect of combining such aromatic amines with conventional octane boosters. The respective octane boosters were blended in Avgas having a MON of 92.6. The results are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

TABLE 5

Fuel	MON
Unleaded Avgas base fuel	92.6
Base fuel plus 10% MTBE(a)	94.1
Base fuel plus 0.34 g/l MMT(b)	96.2

- (a) methyl tertiary butyl ether
- (b) methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl, concentration in g manganese/l

TABLE 6

Test No.	Wt% 3,5-Dimethyl- phenylamine	Base <u>Fuel</u>	Base Fuel Plus 10% MTBE	Base Fuel Plus  0.34 g/l MMT
31	0	92.6	94.1	96.2
32	3	94.7	96.0	97.4
33	6	98.0	98.7	98.4
34	9	100.6		ajina daga

The data in Table 5 demonstrates that even high concentrations of MTBE and MMT cannot boost the MON of Avgas to 98. The 0.34 g/l concentration of MMT is in excess of the 0.06 to 0.1 g g/l recommended for automotive fuel. Higher concentrations result in operational problems such as spark plug fouling and valve seat pitting. As seen from the data in Table 6, the addition of 3,5-dimethylphenylamine to Avgas containing 10 wt% MTBE or 0.34 g/l of MMT result in only slight incremental benefits over the base fuel without MTBE or MMT. In fact at 6 wt% 3,5-dimethylphenylamine, the incremental benefit is nearly gone.

#### Example 5

This example provides a comparison between the octane boosting performance of substituted phenylamines and N-methylphenylamines

in a motor gasoline versus their performance in an aviation gasoline. A fuel was blended according to Example III of U.S. Patent 2,819,953. This fuel which contains 20 vol% toluene, 20 vol% diisobutylene, 20 vol% isooctane and 40 vol% n-heptane was stated by patentees in Example XIX to be representative of average commercial gasolines. Table 7 provides a comparison of performance of various phenylamines in motor gasoline versus aviation gasoline.

TABLE 7

Test	Component	MON in Fr Concentra O	uel A (a) ation (c) <u>6</u>	MON in Fu Concentra O	
35	N-methylphenylamine	71.4	87.0	92.6	94.7
36	phenylamine	71.4	85.8	92.6	98.3
37	N-methyl-4-fluoro- phenylamine	71.4	86.2	92.6	95.1
38	4-fluorophenylamine	71.4	84.5	92.6	96.8
39	N-methyl-2-fluoro-4- methylphenylamine	71.4	81.2	92.6	94.5
40	2-fluoro-4-methyl- henylamine	71.4	82.6	92.6	96.6

<sup>(</sup>a) Motor gasoline per Example III of U.S. 2,819,953, MON is 71.4

The data in Table 7 demonstrates that the best octane boosting performance for a relatively low octane motor gasoline is achieved using the N-methylphenylamines of Tests 35 and 37 wherein an octane boost of 15.6 and 14.8, respectively, is achieved. In contrast, these same amines in a relatively high octane aviation gasoline achieve an octane boost of only 2.1 and 2.5, respectively, and cannot reach the 98 octane level even if concentrations are increased. This is shown in Test 2 (Example 1) and Test 30 (Example 3). Thus one cannot predict the octane boosting performance of aromatic amines in aviation gasolines based upon their performance in motor gasoline.

<sup>(</sup>b) Aviation gasoline per Example 1

<sup>(</sup>c) Concentration in wt% based on motor gasoline or aviation gasoline

CLAIMS:

- 1. An unleaded aviation fuel composition having a motor octane number of at least about 98 for piston driven aircraft which comprises:
  - (1) unleaded aviation gasoline base fuel, and
- (2) an amount of at least one aromatic amine effective to boost the motor octane number of the base fuel to at least about 98, said aromatic amine having the formula

where  $R_1$  is  $C_1-C_{10}$  alkyl or halogen and n is an integer from 0 to 3 with the proviso that when  $R_1$  is alkyl, it cannot occupy the 2- or 6-positions on the aromatic ring.

- 2. The composition of claim 1 wherein  $R_1$  is  $C_1$ - $C_5$  alkyl.
- 3. The composition of claim 1 wherein R1 is C1 or F.
- 4. The composition of claim 1 wherein n is 1 to 2.
- 5. The composition of claim 1 wherein the concentration of aromatic amine is from 4 to 20 wt%, based on gasoline.
- 6. The composition of claim 5 wherein the concentration of aromatic amine is from 5 to 15 wt%, based on gasoline.
- 7. The composition of claim 1 wherein the aromatic amine is selected from the group consisting of 3,5-dimethylphenylamine, 3,4-dimethylphenylamine, 2-fluorophenylamine, 4-fluorophenylamine, 3-

methylphenylamine, 3-ethylphenylamine, 4-ethylphenylamine, 4-iso-propylphenylamine and 4-t-butylphenylamine.

- 8. The composition of claim 7 wherein the aromatic amine is selected from the group consisting of 3,5-dimethylphenylamine, 3,4-dimethylphenylamine, 3-methylphenylamine, 3-ethylphenylamine, 4-ethylphenylamine, 4-isopropylphenylamine and 4-t-butylphenylamine.
- 9. A method for preparing an unleaded aviation fuel composition having a motor octane number of at least about 98 for use in piston driven aircraft which comprises adding to an unleaded aviation base fuel an amount of the aromatic amine of claim 1 effective to boost the motor octane number to at least about 98.
- 10. A method for operating a piston driven aircraft with an unleaded fuel which comprises operating the piston driven aircraft with an unleaded aviation gasoline base fuel containing an effective amount of the aromatic amine of claim 1 effective to boost the motor octane number of the base fuel to at least about 98.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Interna	nal applicatio	n No.	
US	94/04985		

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER			
IPC(5) : C10L 1/22 US CL :44/426			
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to b	oth national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED  Minimum documentation searched (classification system follo	wed by elegation symbols		
U.S. : 44/426	wed by classification symbols)		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to	the extent that such documents are included	in the fields searched	
Electronic data base consulted during the international search	(name of data base and, where practicable	, search terms used)	
CAS ONLINE search terms:fuel, petrol, gasoline, diesel, aromatic a	mine		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	•		
Category* Citation of document, with indication, when	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
Y US, A, 2,819,953 (Brown et al lines 25-32 and 38-39; col. 5, li		1-10	
Y US, A, 2,398,197 (Stanly) 09 A	April 1946, col. 1, lines 1-9	1-2,4-10	
and 47-50; col. 2, lines 1-9 and			
A US, A, 2,434,650 (Herbst) 2 document	US, A, 2,434,650 (Herbst) 20 June 1948, see entire NONE document		
A US, A, 2,413,262 (Stirton) 24 document	December 1946, see entire	NONE	
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box	C. See patent family annex.		
<ul> <li>Special categories of cited documents:</li> <li>'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not consider</li> </ul>	"T" inter document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the applica orinciple or theory underlying the inve	tion but cited to understand the	
to be of particular relevance  "E" carlier document published on or after the international filing date  "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be consider	claimed invention cannot be	
cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  *O*  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other citation or other citations or other citation or other citations or other citation or other citati	"Y" document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an inventive	step when the document is	
means being obvious to a person skilled in the art  P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than "&" document member of the same patent family			
the priority date claimed  Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sea	rch report	
04 AUGUST 1994	26 AUG 1994		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT	Authorized officer Winix Boyo	Ha	
Washington, D.C. 20231			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)(July 1992)\*